**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS** 

The applicant acknowledges, with thanks, receipt of the office action dated March 30,

2007. Claims 1-30 were pending. Claims 8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second

paragraph, for being indefinite. Claim 8 has been cancelled. Claim 10 has been amended to

depend from claim 9 instead of claim 10 as originally filed. As such, this rejection should be

withdrawn.

Claims 1-7, 13, 15, and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by

U.S. Patent No. 5,815,286 to Matsuba. Claims 22, 24, and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuba. Claims 9, 11, 12, 18-21, and 27-30 have been

indicated to be allowable. Claims 14, 17, 23, and 26 were objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim and would be allowable if written in independent form to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-8, 14, 16, 17, 23, 25, and 26 have been cancelled. Claim 10 has been amended

to properly depend from claim 9. Claim 13 has been amended to include the elements of claim

14, which the examiner indicated would be allowable if rewritten in independent form and

included all of the limitations of the base claim and all intervening claims. Claim 15 has been

amended to include the elements of claim 17, which the examiner indicated would be allowable

if rewritten in independent form. Claim 22 has been amended to include the elements of claim

23, which the examiner indicated would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 24

has been amended to include the elements of claim 26, which the examiner indicated would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form. Thus, claims 13, 15, 22, and 24 are the equivalent of

claims 14, 17, 23, and 26, respectively, rewritten in independent form and include all of the

limitations of the base claim and all intervening claims and should therefore be in condition for

allowance.

Page 7 of 8

Application No.: 10/619,385

Amendment/Response dated May 24, 2007 Response to Office action dated March 29, 2007

If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0902, referencing our Docket No. 66329/24816.

Date: May 24, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Susan L. Mizer

Registration No. 38,245

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP

1150 Huntington Bldg.

925 Euclid Ave.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1414

Customer No.: 23380 Tel.: (216) 696-3466

Fax: (216) 592-5009